



AECC
University College

Research Repository

Accepted author manuscript version reprinted, by permission, from *Case Studies in Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 2022, 6 (1): 70-77, <https://doi.org/10.1123/cssep.2021-0035>. © Human Kinetics, Inc.

Article published in: *Case Studies in Sport and Exercise Psychology*

Mosley, E., Wimshurst, Z. L., & Kavanagh, E. (2022). Integrated sport psychology support: A case study in motor sport. *Case Studies in Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 6(1), 70-77.

Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1123/cssep.2021-0035>

Integrated Sport Psychology Support: A Case Study in Motor Sport

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **Abstract:**

27 This article shares a joint reflection of three practitioners who provided specialist support to
28 one elite motor sport athlete. The nine-month programme began with the broad aim of making
29 the driver better prepared for performance at the highest level using the practitioners'
30 experience. One practitioner specialized in athlete wellbeing and performance support, another
31 in vision/perceptual training, and the final practitioner in heart rate variability. The practitioners
32 developed a bespoke programme of support including vision training, slow-paced breathing,
33 and self-awareness. Programme effectiveness was determined through objective measures such
34 as physiological readings and subjective measures, including feedback from the driver and
35 performance coach. Evaluation and reflections of the programme suggest that the athlete
36 successfully learnt slow-paced breathing, improved functional vision, and enhanced pre-
37 performance preparation and in-race regulation. The athlete also perceived the support to be
38 beneficial and had the desire to develop mind-body effectiveness in the future.

39 **Keywords:** Integrated sport psychology; slow-paced breathing; vision training; specialist
40 support

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Integrated Sport Psychology Support: A Case Study in Motor Sport

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75

Context

Sport psychology is a growing field with broad areas of research and practice. As a result of this growth, Sly and colleagues (2020) suggest that applied sport psychology practitioners should seek out opportunities to specialize and develop competencies in specific domains. For example, specialist performance domains, non-sporting domains or collaborating with clinical professionals (Sly et al., 2020). This diversification of roles and expanding areas within sport psychology has led some practitioners to develop specialisms, in this case within the performance domain. Here we term specialist practitioners as those who tend to focus on a particular expertise within sport psychology and mainly deliver a specific service. For example, using heart rate variability (HRV) as a physiological measure to underpin psychological interventions (e.g., Gross et al., 2017) or devising a visual training intervention which targets eye muscle development (Wimshurst et al., 2018). These practitioners provide a specialized service based on their scientific and experiential expertise which optimize and tailor intervention plans around a specific area of psychology. For example, interventions using biofeedback have yielded improvements in both sports performance and subjective variables (see relevant reviews - Jimenez Morgan & Molina Mora, 2017; Pagaduan et al., 2020; Pagaduan et al., 2021). The authors of this paper were approached by the athlete in question for this very reason and subsequently they felt the process of providing specialist support should be documented within applied sport psychology literature. Therefore, this case presents a reflective account of the specialist support given to an elite motor sport athlete. This case focusses mainly on documenting the unique nature of the service delivery shared by three practitioners working with one client.

Practitioner Philosophy and Expertise

76 In 1987 Martens called for a convergence between the “academic sport psychologist” and the
77 “practitioner sport psychologist”, resulting in a blend of orthodox scientific principles and
78 experiential knowledge to fully understand athletic behaviors. This philosophy is quite fitting
79 given the practitioners’ approach is driven by scientific evidence, cognitive behavioral
80 techniques, and person-situation interactions (Woolfe et al., 2003). Cognitive behavioral
81 interventions are often interwoven with psychophysiological interventions (e.g. biofeedback)
82 to ensure psychological skills transfer from the laboratory to real-life settings (e.g. Beauchamp
83 et al., 2012). Our background and experience in psychophysiology in sport and exercise
84 psychology also shapes our approach, whereby we seek to understand human behavior through
85 both psychological and physiological measures (Cooke & Ring, 2019). Crucially, we champion
86 working with multiple practitioners in psychological support, given each practitioner can
87 supply a specific strength to an intervention programme. Incorporating multiple philosophical
88 approaches to practice allows for more objective focused practitioners to be somewhat
89 “elucidated” by more client-centered practitioners. For example, a practitioner focusing on
90 objective measurements (physiological output) is then aided by a client centered practitioner
91 who is able to develop meaningful interpretation of the data for the client. While we
92 acknowledge that drawing from multiple philosophical approaches may not be preferential, the
93 nature of the recruitment of the practitioners organically created this approach, bringing
94 flexibility to the underpinning philosophy (Anderson et al., 2004; McGregor & Winter, 2017).
95 The practitioners’ also champion that an elite athlete will always understand their own sport,
96 and role within it, better than any outsider, no matter how educated or experienced in other
97 domains they are. Therefore, the practitioners consider it essential to get the athlete to
98 contribute to their own testing and training programme by getting them to collaborate in its
99 development.

100 The practitioners were actively involved in either applied practice with athletes or
 101 research within sport psychology. Practitioner one specialized in heart rate variability (HRV),
 102 practitioner two in vision training, and practitioner three in performance psychology. A detailed
 103 overview of practitioner expertise can be found in supplementary material one and the division
 104 of responsibilities across the intervention can be found in Table 1¹. Despite the very different
 105 areas of expertise of each practitioner, to the wider sporting world, each would be labelled
 106 broadly (rightly or wrongly) as a ‘sport psychologist’. From the ‘inside’ we all recognize that
 107 we have very different strengths and weaknesses, despite the similarities in our titles. In fact,
 108 one of the key difficulties we had putting this paper together was understanding if we are an
 109 ‘integrated team’, or an ‘interdisciplinary’ one. Within the sport sciences interdisciplinary
 110 teams are often formed from the sub-disciplines of sport science (e.g. psychology,
 111 biomechanics and physiology) (Piggot et al., 2019) and these disciplines must be integrated to
 112 form an interdisciplinary team. We felt that similarities in our formal training makes us all
 113 practitioners’ who specialize in enhancing performance through psychological means, which
 114 suggests we perhaps are not interdisciplinary. Therefore, we termed ourselves as an “integrated
 115 team” and this allowed us to plan and restructure the intervention to ensure the athlete received
 116 the best support we could provide.

117

118 **Table 1: Division of responsibility**

	Practitioner one (HRV)	Practitioner two (Vision)	Practitioner Three (Performance Psychology)	Sports Optometrist
Primary role	Provided baseline screening and tailored	Provided visual and perception assessment for the	Conducted initial needs analysis and client centered investigation	Initial assessment of the athletes function of the eyes

¹ Note: A specialist sports optometrist was also brought in for the baseline screening to perform an assessment on the underlying function of the eyes

	breathing training	development of vision training		
		Main point of contact for the athlete and performance coach		
Additional role	Adapting breathing behavior	Training visual and perceptual skills	Integrating objective data into psychological skills training and wellbeing focused intervention	No further role
Additional role	Facilitating the development of relaxation skills	Bringing together data and findings for summary documents	Facilitating communication and reflection between practitioners	

119

120 **The Case**

121 The athlete in this case study was actively competing in Formula three, they were an 18-year-
122 old male, full time elite motor racer. At the time this intervention the athlete would be classed
123 as successful-elite as they regularly compete in the top divisions of their sport which are
124 international and experience some infrequent success (i.e. race wins) (Mckay et al., 2022;
125 Swann et al., 2012). Initial contact was made with practitioner two by the driver's manager,
126 due to a primary interest in vision training. The driver's manager told practitioner two about a
127 young formula three athlete who was striving to gain promotion to the next racing category
128 (formula two), with the end goal of reaching formula one. In the initial telephone conversation,
129 it became clear that there were no boundaries to resources and enhancing the athlete's
130 performance was a key motivation. Practitioner two was asked to formulate a proposal of
131 support for the athlete. After much contemplation, practitioner two contacted practitioners one
132 and three. Practitioner one's work could prove vital in specific aspects of racing such as arousal
133 control and would provide a psychophysiological link between all approaches. Practitioner

134 three's client-centered approach and experience in preparing athletes mentally for major
135 competitions would provide a good balance with the more objective, metrics-driven vision and
136 HRV work. Whilst all practitioners all knew each other prior to this case, they had not worked
137 together on an applied project previously.

138 Once the practitioner team was in place and agreed, a meeting between the athlete,
139 manager, performance coach and three practitioners took place. From this meeting it became
140 apparent that the primary aim for seeking support was to strive for a promotion to the next race
141 category. To do this the race team were seeking support and innovation from a range of sport
142 science practitioners. The practitioners explained their individual expertise and discussed the
143 potential provision for the athlete. It was agreed that a needs analysis, including baseline
144 screening, would take place to inform the intervention plan and thus meet the athlete's needs.
145 At the time of the intervention the athlete was balancing a number of life demands including
146 racing, full-time education, and living across multiple locations. It was agreed that the
147 practitioners would see the athlete face-to-face once each month for a three-hour session, and
148 the athlete would complete training between these meetings. The performance coach was
149 present at all testing and agreed to support the athlete with the training in between the monthly
150 meetings. The team were all located across the Southwest of England and support was to be
151 implemented at a major racetrack in the midlands, United Kingdom.

152

153 **Needs Analysis**

154 In line with Keegan's (2016) overview of the consulting process, a needs analysis was carried
155 out to gather baseline information and to highlight areas for improvement and areas of strength.
156 This was conducted over one full day of assessment and consisted of three elements: a
157 performance interview, a baseline visual screening, and HRV and breathing rate assessment.
158 These three elements were considered to be crucial for each of the practitioners' approaches

159 and each assessment was conducted by one of the three practitioners. A specialist sports
160 optometrist also assisted in this primary assessment as part of the broader visual needs analysis.
161 He has performed this role alongside practitioner two for a number of years to ensure that any
162 underlying biological visual deficits are picked up.

163 *Performance Interview*

164 The intake approach for practitioner three followed a more traditional model of service
165 delivery. In line with her philosophical approach to practice she adopted a client-led approach
166 aimed to build rapport, trust, and collect information that would shape this aspect of the
167 intervention (Greenlees, 2009). An informal meeting was carried out to generate a background
168 including demographic information, understanding of the athlete's experience of sport
169 psychology, and their current psychological literacy. Practitioner three also provided an
170 overview of their philosophy of practice and discussed the boundaries of confidentiality given
171 the multiple practitioners working on this case. It was agreed that unless specified, information
172 could be shared across the team in the essence of such information supporting and informing
173 our whole team approach to support.

174 The intake interview was guided by the Performance Interview Guide (Aoyagi et al.
175 2017) and further the practitioner's interpretivist approach which lends itself to adopting an
176 open, semi-structured approach to the intake interview. This involved asking a range of
177 questions such as the athletes background, journey in the sport, and their career highs to date.
178 While there was a focus on a strengths-based approach to consultancy (Linley et al., 2010;
179 Ludlam et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017) in line with the athlete's awareness of psychology and
180 why someone would work with a psychologist at times there was a focus on deficit in the
181 responses. There were a number of themes arising from the intake process these included the
182 chance to enhance the athlete's psychological literacy, to help them manage performance
183 anxiety, and gain greater emotional control in competition or when under situations of stress,

184 finally the opportunity to better balance the competing demands of sport and education at a
 185 critical time in the athlete's career.

186 *Visual Screening*

187 Following the optometrist's screening, sport specific visual screening was carried out, for a
 188 description of each of the visual 'skills' being tested please see Table 2. These tests were based
 189 around a previous conversation with the athlete where the visual demands of the sport were
 190 discussed.

Visual 'skill'	Definition	Description of test
Eye dominance	The tendency to prefer visual input from one eye over the other.	A triangle shape is made between the thumbs/forefingers of the two hands, and with arms outstretched, the test is to look through the hole and line up a target within the triangle. Each eye is then closed in turn and the eye which is open with the target is still aligned is judged to be the dominant eye.
Peripheral awareness	The awareness of things going on around you that you are not directly looking at.	Tested using the Vienna Testing System which requires tracking a central object whilst responding to stimuli that are presented peripherally.
Eye-hand co-ordination	The processing of visual input to guide actions and movements of the hands.	Athlete has to throw and catch a ball, from a distance of 2m, against a rebound net specifically designed to return the ball in an unpredictable manner.
Reaction speed	The time it takes to produce a physical response to a visual stimulus.	Tested using FitLight™ system where the athlete had to respond as quickly as they could when a light came on.
Choice reaction speed	The time it takes to produce a physical response to a visual stimulus when there is more than one possible course of action.	Tested using FitLight™ system pre-set to display one of two different colours. The athlete had to produce a different response based on which colour the light was showing.
Dynamic visual acuity	The ability to detect details of an object while either the object or you are moving.	A disk with 26 holes, each labelled with a letter from the alphabet, rotates at a speed of 2 seconds per rotation. The task is to place a golf tee in each hole in alphabetical order while the disk rotates.
Visual overload	Placing a higher demand on the visual system than it would normally experience from the environment.	Athlete had to throw and catch a ball using alternate hands, against a wall while wearing 'strobe glasses' to occlude their vision

Coincidence anticipation	The ability to predict when a moving object will arrive at a certain destination.	Test uses the Bassin Anticipation Timer which is a track of 49 lights. The start light comes on and then the lights appear to move along the track at a speed of 7mph. The task is to press a push button to stop the lights when it reaches a pre-determined location.
Visual search	Using the eyes to scan the environment for a particular object or feature among other objects or features.	A tachistoscope was used to present images on a computer screen for a short time and the athlete had to identify letter hidden within each image.
Gaze behavior	Where and when we chose to direct our eyes to sample information.	Measures using Tobii 2 eye tracking glasses whilst the athlete was driving in a simulator.

191

192 Table 2 – Description of visual skills and tests

193 The key areas of improvement from the visual assessment were identified as eye-hand co-
 194 ordination, dynamic visual acuity, visual overload, eye speed, and peripheral awareness (which
 195 was observed to be particularly affected by stress levels).

196 *HRV and breathing rate assessment*

197 The first stage of HRV measurement was to screen for factors that can influence HRV (please
 198 see the checklist in the supplementary material of Laborde et al., 2017). This was then followed
 199 by a five-minute baseline measurement, baseline measurements were taken at the beginning of
 200 every session to compare to the baseline assessment on that day, given the daily fluctuations
 201 of HRV (Laborde et al., 2017). When starting the slow-paced breathing intervention, the
 202 practitioner firstly introduced the concept to the athlete to ensure they were educated around
 203 its benefits and use. They were then taught how to use diaphragmic breathing in line with the
 204 recommendations from Lehrer (2013), this included breathing in through the nose and inflating
 205 the stomach during inhalation and exhaling through pursed lips. The breathing rate assessment
 206 consisted of multiple breathing rate attempts at around 6 cycles per minute, which is a
 207 commonly accepted breathing rate to trigger a cardiovascular resonance (Laborde et al., 2021).
 208 For example, 4.5 seconds inhaling and 5.5 seconds exhaling, which the athlete performed over

209 two minutes. Following each different rate, they were asked to rate their perceived comfort of
210 this particular rate on a visual analogue scale from “0” not at all comfortable and “100”
211 completely comfortable. The reason for doing this was to ensure the athlete felt the breathing
212 rate was achievable and comfortable for them to maximize adherence. The results were
213 analyzed to determine which rate provided the biggest increase in HRV (specifically cardiac
214 vagal activity [CVA] a parameter indexing self regulation [Thayer et al., 2009]) and which had
215 a good rating of subjective comfort for the athlete to begin their training. The result from this
216 was a 6-6 breathing rate which increased CVA from 61ms (root-mean-square of successive
217 differences [RMSSD], which is said to reflect CVA and be less influenced by respiration [Hill
218 et al., 2009]) to 186ms. This information was essential to inform the subsequent breathing
219 training, which would aim to get the athlete to breathe at the desired pace, without the prompt
220 of a breathing pacer.

221

222 **Case formulation**

223 Following the needs analysis, the practitioners met to discuss the athletes aims, current areas
224 of strength and areas which could benefit from extra training. We followed the framework by
225 Keegan (2016) to formulate the consultancy process. Case formulation involved synthesising
226 information gathered at needs analysis and intake and using that to guide the choice of
227 intervention (Keegan, 2016). It was clear that the athlete was highly driven and focused on the
228 outcome of being signed as a formula one driver. The athlete had experienced a great deal of
229 success as a junior driver, wanted to capitalize on this, and ‘prove’ their emergence as an elite
230 driver.

231 It became clear from the data collected that the athlete had limited coping resources for
232 regulating himself when under stress (e.g., decrease in peripheral awareness under stress,
233 highlighting a need for strategies for taxing scenarios), which would be a key motivator for

234 optimal performance and placings that would result in potential promotion. The overarching
235 aim of the intervention was to make the driver better prepared for performance at the highest
236 level by enhancing self-regulation under stress (which was indexed by psychophysiological
237 measures). The practitioner team formulated four key areas to achieve this aim that were
238 addressed in the following order: 1) increasing level of psychological literacy – this was to
239 ensure the athlete was educated about the importance of psychology and could successfully
240 apply psychology to meet their needs (e.g., Cranney et al., 2012). 2) Enhancing emotional
241 awareness linked to the athlete’s propensity to get frustrated or angry when challenged – this
242 aim was directly related to developing emotion regulation strategies (e.g., Lane et al., 2012).
243 3) Enhancing their pre and in race regulation – which aimed to bring together psychological
244 skills and psychophysiological intervention to real-world scenarios (e.g., Beauchamp et al.,
245 2012). 4) Build upon a strength-based approach to performance - to build greater psychological
246 flexibility, to enhance understanding of positive traits and increase optimal functioning in
247 performance (e.g., Ludlam et al., 2015).

248

249 **Intervention**

250 To plan the sessions each month, each practitioner would work out their individual aims for
251 the session and then the three practitioners would discuss and see where any overlap may occur
252 so that training could be integrated. An example of this was arousal regulation where visual
253 and HRV training were accompanied by body scanning activities to develop awareness of
254 arousal symptoms both psychologically and physiologically (Pineschi & Di Pietro, 2013). To
255 demonstrate this to the reader we give a detailed example of one session below and to see an
256 overview of the phases of intervention see Figure 1. The overview is the intervention that we
257 had planned, although the specific content of the sessions were developed to consider the
258 athletes progress.

	<i>Pre- Intervention</i>	<i>Phase One</i>	<i>Phase Two</i>	<i>Phase Three</i>	<i>Phase Four</i>	<i>Post- Intervention</i>
<i>Phase Aim</i>	Needs analysis and case formulation	Education and development of self-awareness	Skill learning	Implementation in life and performance	Evaluation How did we do – where next?	Practitioner evaluation (team and individual reflections)
<i>Session Number</i>	1	2 3	4 5	6 7 8 9	10	
<i>Session Overview</i>	Interview and assessment day	Developing knowledge of sport psychology and awareness of own psychological function	Teaching of psychological skills (linked to visual and HRV data) Pre-performance routines, enhanced emotional awareness and regulation; developing a strength-based focus.	Applying skills in a range of environments including simulator training, stress reduction, relaxation, physical training	Interview with the athlete	

260

261 Figure 1 - Phases of intervention

262

263 **Example session**

264 The overarching aim of the following session was performance under pressure and took place
265 in phase three of the intervention. From a visual perspective (practitioner two) the aim of the
266 session was to work on increasing peripheral awareness and reactions to stimuli appearing in
267 the periphery. This was based around the finding that the athlete's angle of peripheral
268 awareness was lower than average and the understanding that when driving the periphery is
269 predominantly used to detect other cars and to respond quickly to their appearance in the
270 periphery. As it is also recognized that peripheral vision decreases when under stress (Adams,
271 1971), this was seen as an ideal area to work on in combination with the athlete working on
272 their ability to recognize when they were feeling stressed (practitioner three) and successfully
273 applying slow-paced breathing in stressful situations (practitioner one).

274 The session began with an initial test of peripheral vision using the Vienna system (as
275 reported in Zwierko, 2007 and Zwierko, 2010). This gives an overall angle of peripheral vision

276 (score at baseline was 173°), which is a good objective measure than can be compared pre- and
277 post-training. Following this, some brief training was carried out to get the athlete to become
278 aware of what they could see in his periphery. Tasks within this included a foveal vision
279 exercise (e.g. a mobile phone based exercise) and making a physical response to stimuli in the
280 periphery (e.g. catch a ball being thrown over their shoulder). These tasks progressed in
281 difficulty to add cognitive and visual load, which the athlete found difficult.

282 Following this the athlete completed the Vienna peripheral awareness test again.
283 Unbeknown to the athlete, this was not the final test of the session but an interim test to see
284 how their peripheral vision was affected when put under stress and whether they would be able
285 to use their training to overcome that. While performing the Vienna test, the practitioners all
286 stood closer to the athlete than on any previous tests and loudly gave feedback during the test
287 in a way designed to elicit stress, which echoed similar procedures used in Minns et al. (2018).
288 This included comments such as ‘your reaction time was too slow there’, ‘you missed a target
289 to your left’, ‘your performance has decreased from this morning’ etc. The result of this added
290 pressure was that the athletes’ overall angle of peripheral vision decreased by 42°. We spoke
291 to the athlete about this and we directly linked this situation to the breathing training they had
292 been doing in the previous weeks. It was suggested that the athlete could use breathing as a
293 way of helping them combat competition stress (at this point in the intervention the athlete was
294 able to breathe at the given pace without the need for a breath pacer).

295 The athlete completed the Vienna test again whilst we attempted to make them feel
296 under pressure. This time however, it was noticeable that the athlete used the techniques and
297 they were able to not only overcome the effect of pressure but showed an increase of 4° visual
298 angle from the initial test of the day. By adding the breathing, we also observed a change in
299 RMSSD from 69ms (under pressure no slow-paced breathing) to 140ms (under pressure with
300 slow-paced breathing) during the Vienna task. The athlete also mentioned whilst debriefing

301 from this task that they felt more concentrated during the second attempt than the first, which
302 highlights both psychological and physiological benefits of breathing. This would also inform
303 further slow-paced breathing training sessions in which we would manipulate a stress response
304 for the athlete to use the skills in a similar environment.

305 Other training sessions followed a similar format where each practitioner would have
306 individual time with the athlete to work on their own specific goals for the session and where
307 possible, these would then be combined and also finish with work in the driving simulator to
308 try and incorporate all elements together in an environment as realistic to competition as we
309 could get. This enabled practitioner three to build the specialist performance data into
310 performance related interventions. For example, the skills adopted through the vision and
311 breathing training were utilized as a foundation to developing a pre-race strategy to help
312 manage pre-performance anxiety. It has been previously found in similar research that using
313 slow paced breathing prior to importance performance can not only improve physiological
314 response but also lower state anxiety (Paul & Garg, 2012). This was further linked to work
315 concerning the development of the athlete's emotional awareness, for example using
316 mindfulness techniques such as body scanning to become aware of arousal in the body (Moen
317 et al., 2015; Pineschi & Di Pietro, 2013). An aim here was to develop the driver's familiarity
318 with different emotions, to support them in fostering language or literacy concerning their
319 emotions, and to explore the behavioral impact of different emotional contexts. This aligned
320 with the intake interview(s) where it was evident that the athlete became easily angered or
321 frustrated when in situations of challenge.

322

323 **Evaluations**

324 Evaluations took place throughout the intervention process to ensure practitioner effectiveness
325 and athlete satisfaction (Anderson et al., 2004). Specifically, this was achieved via athlete

326 feedback and examining psychophysiological data. The athlete highlighted the benefit and
327 enjoyment they obtained from the practical sessions and the ability to “work outside of the car”
328 to develop psychological skills. It became apparent that the athlete was more successful at self-
329 regulation during stressful tasks, as he was able to identify when he was feeling under strain
330 and subsequently used slow-paced breathing to alleviate this. Successful slow-paced breathing
331 was confirmed by HRV data (RMSSD) and visual observation of respiration rate which was
332 determined at the beginning of the intervention. This was also successfully applied to a number
333 of practical sessions where this has a direct impact on improving visual performance (i.e.,
334 improved overall angle of peripheral vision under pressure).

335 Once the agreed schedule of support was completed with the athlete, practitioner one and
336 two interviewed the athlete about their experiences. The athlete stated they had learnt about the
337 importance of marginal areas (such as vision, breathing training) and that this needs to be
338 implemented into regular training to see improvements (such as doing vision training at the
339 end of a gym session). The athlete stated they wanted more contact in the off season as three-
340 hour long sessions are too much during the season and they struggled to find time to train the
341 techniques during the competitive season, the athlete wanted more practical application
342 through intensive training camps in the future. The athlete also talked about taking up other
343 sports in the off season (such as ping pong or tennis) to help improve co-ordination. Moving
344 forward the athlete liked the idea of working together to create a bespoke plan for the future,
345 with more opportunity for retesting over time to see what improvements had been made.

346 Overall, the outcomes of the intervention improved mind-body awareness, arousal
347 regulation for racing and enhanced visual functions. We saw direct improvements in areas of
348 the athletes’ vision and their ability to maintain slow-paced breathing under pressure. With
349 regards to vision training the athlete highlighted his newfound awareness of the importance of
350 vision, “I would never have really imagined that your eyes would be an area that you can

351 somehow work with to make it faster, make it better”. With regards to breathing training the
352 athlete recognised they were able to use slow paced breathing successfully “I think we have
353 seen some improvements from the first time... I had to start with the app on my phone but then
354 I was able to do it myself and I’ve used those techniques in certain situations”. The athlete
355 referred to this having a positive impact on their driving experience, they reflected on the
356 implementation of pre-race strategies that enabled their hands to be “less wet” in the pit lane
357 prior to starting the race. One drawback was that we were not able to work with the athlete
358 directly on the track, as we only had access to the simulator during the intervention. When
359 discussing the fact that we had not been able to use the simulator or on track training sessions
360 to determine an outcome measure for success and the athlete mentioned that “I feel that it helps
361 and that’s the main thing”. From discussion with the athlete it was clear the intervention was
362 playing a role in their racing performance. Following the completion and withdrawal of
363 support, the athlete did achieve their goal of promotion to the next race category.

364 There are some important limitations within this intervention that should be considered
365 in line with psychophysiological field work. Regarding HRV for example, due to the athlete
366 being extremely busy we were only given a particular time frame and could not control for all
367 variables that might influence HRV, e.g., training load, sleep. In addition, because of
368 constraints on time not all measurements of interest could be taken, for example understanding
369 how long lasting the effects of slow-paced breathing were on HRV. Given there is evidence to
370 suggest short term (single session) slow-paced breathing interventions effects on RMSSD were
371 found to dissipate after slow-paced breathing ended (You et al., 2021), therefore this would be
372 an interesting measure in the future when using interventions with slow-paced breathing. In
373 future, it would be useful for researchers to develop practitioner guidelines around
374 implementing slow-paced breathing interventions.

375 Regarding vision training, it was very difficult to monitor how improvements in the
376 ‘off track’ measurements were translating to driving performance, particularly as the athlete
377 effectively put a stop to simulator work mid-way through the intervention. Further, there were
378 limitations with using the simulator itself as it is not a true representation of driving in a race
379 scenario. Although this was directly planned as part of the intervention (Figure 1) – this was
380 not able to be achieved. When it was possible to collect eye-tracking data, the time it took to
381 go through the footage and note differences in gaze behavior on different laps, and link this
382 with sector times, was immense. Ideally, this is something that could have been gone through
383 whilst with the driver and then specifically trialed different gaze patterns at the time, but this
384 did not prove to be feasible.

385

386 **Reflections**

387 Following evaluation with the athlete the practitioners then reflected on their experiences and
388 approach in this case. Reflective practice is recognised as an important aspect of psychology
389 service delivery (Cropley et al., 2020; Cropley et al., 2010; Knowles et al., 2011). Reflection
390 is thought to facilitate examination and sense making of practice and in turn raise knowledge
391 in action consciousness (Knowles et al., 2011). We followed guidance on reflection presented
392 by Anderson et al’s (2004) to scaffold reflective practice as an applied team. We then reflected
393 both individually and collectively using Kolb’s reflective cycle (Kolb, 1984). For clarity the
394 reflections have been split into sub-sections.

395 *Working as an “integrated team”*

396 Overall, the practitioners agreed that working as an integrated team was very beneficial for
397 both the athlete and themselves. Perhaps the most pertinent reflection was the complementarity
398 of practitioners’ different specialisms and how this directly improved the support given to
399 the athlete. This was agreed to influence all phases of the intervention. For example, during

400 case formulation whereby practitioners could jointly discuss both objective and subjective data
401 to make an informed decision regarding intervention. Another notable benefit was observing
402 crossover in performance outputs, such as, seeing improvements in visual outputs as a result
403 of implementing breathing techniques and improving bodily awareness.

404 One notable reflection is that there were very little challenges working as a “trio” to support
405 one athlete. We discussed how this was probably due to several factors, the most pertinent
406 being that each of the practitioners had worked together before in an academic setting. This
407 familiarity helped to develop a very strong working relationship and understanding of each
408 practitioner’s strengths. For those who perhaps do not have this familiarity, it would be very
409 beneficial to discuss approaches, strengths and structure for coming sessions.

410 *Facing resistance*

411 The practitioners did face some resistance during the application of the intervention. As the
412 sessions progressed, we as practitioners, wanted to make the training more task-specific to what
413 would be happening when racing so asked to increase the amount of time in the simulator to
414 facilitate this and to increase the use of eye tracking being carried out as we were finding
415 specific links between gaze patterns and sector times. In addition, there was an opportunity to
416 explore other areas of support linked to the intake interview including an increased
417 psychological literacy, a strengths-based approach to increasing athlete self-awareness and
418 challenging beliefs around the adoption of sport psychology beyond a deficit approach.
419 However, this was met with resistance. After a number of weeks, the athlete specifically
420 requested less eye-tracking and simulator time and more practical exercises away from the ‘in-
421 car’ setting. This did lead to some internal feelings of conflict as what we felt would be most
422 beneficial for the athlete went against what they were specifically requesting. After discussing
423 within our team, we went back to the athlete with the reasoning behind what we were proposing
424 and how this was at odds with the athletes’ requests. The athlete noted what we were saying

425 but insisted that they were feeling most benefit from the practical, non-motor sport specific
426 training and that was what they wanted to proceed with. There was resistance to making time
427 for any session that went beyond the practical training environment. The athlete was not as in
428 invested in exploring his psychological reactions more deeply, he was more invested in the
429 practical “doing”. We did spend some time ensuring that he understood the importance of
430 deeper reflection and shifting from just training to meaningful application.

431 At this point in the intervention practitioner three provided a review of support provided
432 and recommendations for the future should the athlete wish to explore further dimensions of
433 psychological support and exited the intervention. Based on their requests, and the knowledge
434 that we had clearly laid out our recommendations (to both the athlete and their performance
435 coach) we felt that we had to respect the athletes wishes, but also continue to monitor progress
436 and their feedback on what was being carried out to ensure that the athlete was still benefiting
437 from the intervention. Practitioner three noted how often as psychologists you have to cope
438 with the readiness of the athlete to receive support. While she was disappointed in the long-
439 term buy in of the athlete to the more holistic approach to thinking about being an athlete, it
440 was the right thing to do to exit the environment and allow the athlete to continue to work with
441 practitioner one and two whose more positivist approach aligned better with the athlete. That
442 said, the resistance to challenge and the opportunity for change was a factor in the overall
443 cessation of the intervention and the ability to go further with the support model.

444 *Reflective conclusions*

445 Overall, working cooperatively as practitioners with specialisms was an insightful and
446 beneficial experience for all involved. It provided an opportunity to directly observe other
447 practitioners at work with a client in a way which is not normally possible. The case provides
448 insight into the process of working as an integrated sport psychology team, a process that
449 involved merging or blending multiple philosophies, and approaches to intervention design and

450 implementation. Although we would all define ourselves as professionals in sport psychology
451 and the overarching goal of the case was performance focused, the case shows how sport
452 psychology services are nuanced. The case is evidence of the fact that no single technique or
453 intervention will provide all the answers and there is no “one true method” of doing applied
454 psychology (Henriksen et al., 2011). It was evident that the athlete responded well to the
455 practitioner specialism and psychological services delivered in a more focused or objective
456 manner. There is certainly room to learn more about specialism and the place of focused
457 psychological services in the delivery of sport and exercise psychology.

458 We recommend practitioners with psychophysiological specialisms explore how they
459 can create accessible packages of support for athletes to provide unique and specialist support
460 which can enhance performance, both individually and in support teams. In future it would be
461 useful to see more practical guidelines around psychophysiological areas (e.g., interventions,
462 visual training interventions) for researchers and practitioners in the field to replicate in their
463 own practice.

464

465

466

467 **References**

468 Adams, R. W. (1971) *Peripheral vision and visual attention*, PhD thesis, Iowa State
469 University.

470 Anderson, A. G., Knowles, Z., & Gilbourne, D. (2004). Reflective practice for sport
471 psychologists: Concepts, models, practical implications, and thoughts on
472 dissemination. *The Sport Psychologist*, 18, 188-203. doi:10.1123/tsp.18.2.188

473 Aoyagi, M. W., Poczwadowski, A., Statler, T., Shapiro, J. L., & Cohen, A. B. (2017). The
474 Performance Interview Guide: Recommendations for Initial Consultations in Sport

475 and Performance Psychology. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*,
476 48(5), 352-360.

477 Beauchamp, M. K., Harvey, R. H., & Beauchamp, P. H. (2012). An integrated biofeedback
478 and psychological skills training program for Canada's Olympic short-track
479 speedskating team. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 6, 6784.

480 Cooke, A., & Ring, C. (2019). Psychophysiology of sport, exercise, and performance: Past,
481 present, and future. *Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology*, 8(1), 1-6.
482 <https://doi.org/10.1037/spy0000156>

483 Cranney, J., Morris, S., Martin, F. H., Provost, S. C., Zinkiewicz, L., Reece, J., et al. (2011).
484 Psychological literacy and applied psychology in undergraduate education.
485 In Cranney, J., & Dunn, D.S. *The Psychologically Literate Citizen: Foundations and*
486 *Global Perspectives* (pp. 146–166). Oxford University Press.

487 Cropley, B., Hanton, S., Miles, A., Niven, A. & Dohme, L. (2020). Developing the
488 effectiveness of applied sport psychology service delivery: A reflective practice
489 intervention. *Sport and Exercise Psychology Review*, 16, 38-60.

490 Cropley, B., Hanton, S., Miles, A., & Niven, A. (2010). Exploring the relationship between
491 effective and reflective practice in applied sport psychology. *The Sport Psychologist*,
492 24, 521–541.

493 Greenlees, I. (2009). Enhancing confidence in a youth golfer. In Hemmings, B., & Holder, T.
494 (Eds), *Applied Sport Psychology: A Case-Based Approach*. Wiley.

495 Gross, M. J., Hall, R., Bringer, J. D., Cook, C. J., Killduff, L., & Shearer, D. (2017).
496 Resonant frequency training in elite sport: A case study example. *Journal of Sport*
497 *Psychology in Action*, 8(3), 173-183.

498 Henriksen, K., Diment, G., & Hansen, J. (2011). Professional philosophy: Inside the delivery
499 of sport psychology service at Team Denmark. *Sport Science Review*, 20(1-2), 5.

500 Hill, L. K., Siebenbrock, A., Sollers, J. J., & Thayer, J. F. (2009). Are all measures created
501 equal? Heart rate variability and respiration - biomed 2009. *Biomed Sci Instrum*, 45,
502 71-76. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21520704.2017.1287797>

503 Hüttermann, S. Noël, B & Memmert, D. (2018) Eye tracking in high-performance sports:
504 Evaluation of its application in expert athletes. *International Journal of Computer*
505 *Science in Sport*, 17(2), 182-203.

506 Keegan, R. J. (2016). *Being a sport psychologist*. London, UK: Palgrave Higher Education.

507 Knowles, Z., Gilbourne, D., & Niven, A. (2011). Critical reflections on doing reflective
508 practice and writing reflective texts. In D. Gilbourne & M. B. Andersen (Eds.), *Critical*
509 *essays in applied sport psychology* (pp. 59–71). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

510 Kolb, D. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experiences as the source of learning and*
511 *Development*. Prentice Hall.

512 Laborde, S., Allen, M. S., Borges, S., Hosang, T., You, M., Zammit, N., Iskra, M., Mosley, E.,
513 & Dosseville, F. (2021). Psychophysiological effects of slow-paced breathing at 6
514 cycles per minute with or without heart rate variability biofeedback. *Psychophysiology*,
515 59(1). doi.org/10.1111/psyp.13952

516 Laborde, S., Mosley, E., & Thayer, J. F. (2017). Heart Rate Variability and Cardiac Vagal Tone
517 in Psychophysiological Research - Recommendations for Experiment Planning, Data
518 Analysis, and Data Reporting. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 8, 213.
519 [doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00213](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00213)

520 Laborde S., Lautenbach F. & Allen M. S. (2015a) The contribution of coping-related variables
521 and heart rate variability to visual search performance under pressure. *Physiology &*
522 *Behavior* 139, 532 – 40.

523 Laborde S., Furley P. & Schempp C. (2015b) The relationship between working memory,
524 reinvestment, and heart rate variability. *Physiology & Behavior* 139, 430 – 6.

525 Lane, A. M., Beedie, C. J., Jones, M. V., Uphill, M., & Devonport, T. J. (2012). The BASES
526 expert statement on emotion regulation in sport. *Journal of sports sciences*, 30(11),
527 1189–1195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2012.693621>

528 Lehrer, P. M. (2013). How Does Heart Rate Variability Biofeedback Work? Resonance, the
529 Baroreflex, and Other Mechanisms. *Biofeedback*, 41(1), 26-31. doi:10.5298/1081-
530 5937-41.1.02

531 Linley, P.A., Nielsen, K.M., Gillett, R., & Biswas-Diener, R. (2010). Using signature strengths
532 in pursuit of goals: Effects on goal progress, need satisfaction, and well-being, and
533 implications for coaching psychologists. *International Coaching Psychology Review*,
534 5, 6–15.

535 Ludlam, K.E., Butt, J., Bawden, M., Lindsay, P., & Maynard, I.W. (2015). A strengths-based
536 consultancy approach in elite sport: Exploring super-strengths. *Journal of Applied*
537 *Sport Psychology*, 28, 216–233.

538 Martens, R. (1987). Science, Knowledge, and Sport Psychology. *The Sport Psychologist*, 1,
539 29-55.

540 McKay, A. K., Stellingwerff, T., Smith, E. S., Martin, D. T., Mujika, I., Goosey-Tolfrey, V.
541 L., ... & Burke, L. M. (2022). Defining Training and Performance Caliber: A Participant
542 Classification Framework. *International journal of sports physiology and performance*,
543 17(2), 317-331.

544 McGregor, P., & Winter, S. (2017). A reflective case study of sport psychology support at the
545 lacrosse world cup. *Case Studies in Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 1, 40-51.

546 Minns, D., Mosley, E., Laborde, S., & Wimshurst, Z. (2018). The contribution of cardiac vagal
547 activity on peripheral perception under pressure. *Progress in Brain Research*, 240, 93-
548 107. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pbr.2018.09.003>

- 549 Moen, F., Abrahamsen, F., & Furrer, P. (2015). The Effects from Mindfulness Training on
550 Norwegian Junior elite Athletes in Sport. *International Journal of Applied Sports*
551 *Sciences*, (27)2, 99-114.
- 552 Mosley, E., Laborde, S., & Kavanagh, E. (2018). The contribution of coping-related variables
553 and cardiac vagal activity on prone rifle shooting performance under pressure. *Journal*
554 *of Psychophysiology*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1027/0269-8803/a000220>
- 555 Piggott, B., Müller, S., Chivers, P., Papaluca, C., & Hoyne, G. (2019). Is sports science
556 answering the call for interdisciplinary research? A systematic review. *European*
557 *Journal of Sport Science*, 19(3), 267-286, DOI: 10.1080/17461391.2018.1508506
- 558 Pineschi, G., & Di Pietro, A. (2013). Anxiety Management through Psychophysiological
559 Techniques: Relaxation and Psyching-Up in Sport. *Journal of Sport Psychology in*
560 *Action*, 4(3), 181-190, DOI: 10.1080/21520704.2013.82024
- 561 Piras, A., & Vickers, J. N. (2011). The effect of fixation transitions on quiet eye duration and
562 performance in the soccer penalty kick: Instep versus inside kicks. *Cognitive*
563 *processing*, 12(3), 245-255.
- 564 Poczwardowski, A., Aoyagi, M. W., Shapiro, J. L., & Van Raalte, J. L. (2014). *Developing*
565 *professional philosophy for sport psychology consulting practice*. In A. G.
566 Papaioannou & D. Hackfort (Eds.), *Routledge companion to sport and exercise*
567 *psychology: Global perspectives and fundamental concepts* (pp. 895–907).
568 Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- 569 Sly, D., Mellalieu, S. D., & Wagstaff, C. R. D. (2020). “It’s psychology Jim, but not as we
570 know it!?”: The changing face of applied sport psychology. *Sport, Exercise, and*
571 *Performance Psychology*, 9(1), 87–101. <https://doi.org/10.1037/spy0000163>
- 572 Swann, C., Moran, A., & Piggot, D. (2015). Defining elite athletes: Issues in the study of
573 expert performance in sport psychology. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 16, 3-14.

574 McKay, A. K., Stellingwerff, T., Smith, E. S., Martin, D. T., Mujika, I., Goosey-
575 Tolfrey, V.

576 Thayer, J. F., Hansen, A. L., Saus-Rose, E. & Johnsen, B. H. (2009). Heart Rate Variability,
577 Prefrontal Neural Function, and Cognitive Performance: The Neurovisceral
578 Integration Perspective on Self-regulation, Adaptation, and Health. *Annals of*
579 *Behavioral Medicine*, 37 (2), 141-153.

580 Wells, R., Outhred, T., Heathers, J.A., & Haddon, K.A. (2012). Matter over Mind: A
581 randomised-controlled trial of single-session biofeedback training on performance
582 anxiety and heart rate variability in musicians. *Plos One*, 7 (10), 1-10.

583 Wimshurst, Z. L., Sowden, P. T., & Cardinale, M. (2012). Visual skills and playing positions
584 of Olympic field hockey players. *Perceptual and motor skills*, 114(1), 204-216.

585 Wimshurst, Z., Sowden, P. T., & Cardinale, M. (2018). The effectiveness of different visual
586 skills training programmes on elite cricket players. *European Journal of Sport and*
587 *Exercise Science*, 6 (3), 75-102.

588 Woolfe, R., Dryden, W., & Strawbridge, S. (2003). *Handbook of counseling psychology*.
589 London: Sage

590 You, M., Laborde, S., Salvotti, C., Zammit, N., Mosley, E., & Dosseville, F. (2021). Influence
591 of a Single Slow-Paced Breathing Session on Cardiac Vagal Activity in Athletes.
592 *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00467-x)
593 [020-00467-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00467-x)

594 Zaccaro, A., Piarulli, A., Laurino, M., Garbella, D., Neri, B., & Germangini, A. (2018). How
595 breath control can save your life: A systematic review on psycho-physiological
596 correlates of slow breathing. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 12, 353. doi:
597 10.3389/fnhum.2018.00353

598 Zhang, A., Franklin, C., Currin-McCulloch, J., Park, S., & Kim, J. (2017). The effectiveness
599 of strength-based, solution-focused brief therapy in medical settings: A systematic
600 review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Behavioral*
601 *Medicine, 41*, 139–151.

602 Zwierko, T. (2007). Differences in peripheral perception between athletes and nonathletes. *J.*
603 *Hum. Kinet. 19*, 53–62.

604 Zwierko, T., et al. (2010). Speed of visual sensorimotor processes and conductivity of visual
605 pathway in volleyball players. *J. Hum. Kinet. 23*, 21–27.

606